IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
WRIT PETITION NO : 17981 of 2006

Dated 4th September, 2006

Between

A.Shravani .....Petitioner

And

The Board of Intermediate
Represented by its Secretary,
Nampally, Hyderabad.

....Respondent.

.

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 17981 of 2006

ORDER:

The petitioner, a student, who appeared for intermediate examination, has filed this Writ Petition seeking Writ of Mandamus to call for her answer script in English 2nd year intermediate examination and to direct the respondent authorities to revalue the same.

It is the case of the petitioner that she is a meritorious student and secured very good marks in all the subjects, except in English. It is her further case that even in English II year Intermediate Examination

also, she has done fairly well and in spite of the same, without any valid reasons, marks were awarded restricting to 69.

When the matter has come up for admission on 30-8-2006, Sri S.S. Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent sought time. On instructions, it is submitted by the learned Standing Counsel that after verifying answer script of the petitioner, nothing wrong was found in award of marks and after valuation of the answer script, marks were awarded correctly.

Except her own assessment with regard to the correctness of the answers, there is no other basis for filing this Writ petition. Students' assessment with regard to correctness of answer is not relevant factor for award of marks. It is ultimately for the examiner to value the paper and award marks. Further, the petitioner cannot expect award of marks in languages by comparing the same to the subjects like Mathematics etc., Further, it is also brought to my notice that there is no provision in the academic regulations for revaluation of the answer scripts. It is fairly well settled that in absence of any provision for revaluation in the academic regulations, merely because student did not get marks as per his/her assessment, the same is no ground either to order for revaluation or to call for the answer script by this court in exercise of powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. In that view of the matter, I do not find any valid ground for grant of relief as prayed for.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

-

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

DATE: 04-09-2006
Msnr.